

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table of Contents	i
List of Figures	vii
List of Tables	xv
List of Acronyms and Abbreviations	xxiii
Chemicals and Units of Measure	xxx
Metric Conversion Chart and Metric Prefixes	xxxiii

Chapter 1	
Background, Purpose of, and Need for the Proposed Action	
1.1	Introduction
1.1.1	Weapons-Usable Fissile Materials
1.2	Background
1.3	Purpose of and Need for the Proposed Action
1.4	Related <i>National Environmental Policy Act</i> Reviews
1.5	Decisions to be Made
1.6	Preferred Alternative
1.7	Scope of the Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement
1.8	Summary of Major Issues Identified During the Comment Period and Changes to the Draft Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement
1.8.1	Issues Identified and Resolved
1.8.2	Changes Made to the Draft Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement
	1-1
	1-1
	1-4
	1-5
	1-6
	1-10
	1-11
	1-15
	1-20
	1-20
	1-22

Chapter 2	
Weapons-Usable Fissile Materials Long-Term Storage and Disposition Alternatives	
2.1	Development of Alternatives
2.1.1	Screening Criteria
2.1.2	Screening Evaluation Process
2.1.3	Reasonable Alternatives for Long-Term Storage of Weapons-Usable Fissile Materials
2.1.4	Reasonable Alternatives for the Disposition of Surplus Plutonium
2.2	No Action Alternative
2.2.1	Hanford Site
2.2.2	Nevada Test Site
2.2.3	Idaho National Engineering Laboratory
2.2.4	Pantex Plant
2.2.5	Oak Ridge Reservation
2.2.6	Savannah River Site
2.2.7	Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site
2.2.8	Los Alamos National Laboratory
2.3	Long-Term Storage Alternatives and Related Activities
2.3.1	Upgrade at Multiple Sites Alternative
2.3.2	Consolidation of Plutonium Alternative
2.3.2.1	Common Activities and Facility Requirements
2.3.2.2	Site-Specific Requirements
	2-1
	2-1
	2-1
	2-2
	2-7
	2-15
	2-15
	2-19
	2-22
	2-22
	2-29
	2-32
	2-35
	2-38
	2-38
	2-61
	2-61
	2-63

2.3.3	Collocation of Plutonium and Highly Enriched Uranium Alternative	2-71
2.3.3.1	Common Activities and Facility Requirements	2-71
2.3.3.2	Site-Specific Requirements	2-72
2.3.4	Storage Phaseout	2-78
2.4	Plutonium Disposition Alternatives And Related Activities	2-79
2.4.1	Pit Disassembly/Conversion Facility	2-89
2.4.2	Plutonium Conversion	2-92
2.4.3	Deep Borehole Category	2-96
2.4.3.1	Direct Disposition Alternative	2-96
2.4.3.2	Immobilized Disposition Alternative	2-102
2.4.3.2.1	Ceramic Immobilization Facility—Immobilized Disposition Alternative	2-103
2.4.3.2.2	Deep Borehole Complex—Immobilized Disposition Alternative	2-106
2.4.4	Immobilization Category	2-111
2.4.4.1	Vitrification Alternative	2-111
2.4.4.2	Ceramic Immobilization Alternative	2-116
2.4.4.3	Electrometallurgical Treatment Alternative	2-120
2.4.5	Reactor Category and Common Activities	2-125
2.4.5.1	Mixed Oxide Fuel Fabrication	2-125
2.4.5.2	Existing Light Water Reactor Alternative	2-131
2.4.5.3	Partially Completed Light Water Reactor Alternative	2-137
2.4.5.4	Evolutionary Light Water Reactor Alternative	2-139
2.4.5.5	Canadian Deuterium Uranium Reactor Alternative	2-143
2.5	Comparison of Alternatives	2-146
2.5.1	Long-Term Storage Alternatives	2-146
2.5.2	Disposition Alternatives	2-151

Chapter 3	
Affected Environment	3-1
3.1 Description of Environmental Resources	3-1
3.1.1 Land Resources	3-2
3.1.2 Site Infrastructure	3-4
3.1.3 Air Quality and Noise	3-5
3.1.4 Water Resources	3-8
3.1.5 Geology and Soils	3-10
3.1.6 Biological Resources	3-11
3.1.7 Cultural and Paleontological Resources	3-13
3.1.8 Socioeconomics	3-15
3.1.9 Public and Occupational Health and Safety	3-17
3.1.10 Waste Management	3-19
3.2 Hanford Site	3-20
3.2.1 Land Resources	3-23
3.2.2 Site Infrastructure	3-26
3.2.3 Air Quality and Noise	3-29
3.2.4 Water Resources	3-32
3.2.5 Geology and Soils	3-38
3.2.6 Biological Resources	3-42
3.2.7 Cultural and Paleontological Resources	3-48
3.2.8 Socioeconomics	3-50
3.2.9 Public and Occupational Health and Safety	3-57

3.2.10	Waste Management	3-61
3.3	Nevada Test Site	3-66
3.3.1	Land Resources	3-68
3.3.2	Site Infrastructure	3-72
3.3.3	Air Quality and Noise	3-74
3.3.4	Water Resources	3-76
3.3.5	Geology and Soils	3-81
3.3.6	Biological Resources	3-83
3.3.7	Cultural and Paleontological Resources	3-88
3.3.8	Socioeconomics	3-90
3.3.9	Public and Occupational Health and Safety	3-97
3.3.10	Waste Management	3-101
3.4	Idaho National Engineering Laboratory	3-104
3.4.1	Land Resources	3-107
3.4.2	Site Infrastructure	3-110
3.4.3	Air Quality and Noise	3-112
3.4.4	Water Resources	3-115
3.4.5	Geology and Soils	3-121
3.4.6	Biological Resources	3-125
3.4.7	Cultural and Paleontological Resources	3-129
3.4.8	Socioeconomics	3-130
3.4.9	Public and Occupational Health and Safety	3-137
3.4.10	Waste Management	3-141
3.5	Pantex Plant	3-146
3.5.1	Land Resources	3-148
3.5.2	Site Infrastructure	3-151
3.5.3	Air Quality and Noise	3-153
3.5.4	Water Resources	3-157
3.5.5	Geology and Soils	3-165
3.5.6	Biological Resources	3-166
3.5.7	Cultural and Paleontological Resources	3-168
3.5.8	Socioeconomics	3-169
3.5.9	Public and Occupational Health and Safety	3-176
3.5.10	Waste Management	3-180
3.6	Oak Ridge Reservation	3-184
3.6.1	Land Resources	3-186
3.6.2	Site Infrastructure	3-190
3.6.3	Air Quality and Noise	3-192
3.6.4	Water Resources	3-194
3.6.5	Geology and Soils	3-200
3.6.6	Biological Resources	3-201
3.6.7	Cultural and Paleontological Resources	3-207
3.6.8	Socioeconomics	3-208
3.6.9	Public and Occupational Health and Safety	3-215
3.6.10	Waste Management	3-219
3.7	Savannah River Site	3-228
3.7.1	Land Resources	3-230
3.7.2	Site Infrastructure	3-232
3.7.3	Air Quality and Noise	3-233
3.7.4	Water Resources	3-236
3.7.5	Geology and Soils	3-241

3.7.6	Biological Resources	3-242
3.7.7	Cultural and Paleontological Resources	3-249
3.7.8	Socioeconomics	3-250
3.7.9	Public and Occupational Health and Safety	3-257
3.7.10	Waste Management	3-261
3.8	Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site	3-266
3.8.1	Land Resources	3-268
3.8.2	Site Infrastructure	3-270
3.8.3	Air Quality and Noise	3-273
3.8.4	Water Resources	3-275
3.8.5	Geology and Soils	3-282
3.8.6	Biological Resources	3-283
3.8.7	Cultural and Paleontological Resources	3-287
3.8.8	Socioeconomics	3-288
3.8.9	Public and Occupational Health and Safety	3-295
3.8.10	Waste Management	3-299
3.9	Los Alamos National Laboratory	3-304
3.9.1	Land Resources	3-306
3.9.2	Site Infrastructure	3-308
3.9.3	Air Quality and Noise	3-310
3.9.4	Water Resources	3-314
3.9.5	Geology and Soils	3-319
3.9.6	Biological Resources	3-321
3.9.7	Cultural and Paleontological Resources	3-325
3.9.8	Socioeconomics	3-326
3.9.9	Public and Occupational Health and Safety	3-333
3.9.10	Waste Management	3-337
3.10	Deep Borehole Complex Site (Generic)	3-342
3.10.1	Land Resources	3-343
3.10.2	Site Infrastructure	3-345
3.10.3	Air Quality and Noise	3-347
3.10.4	Water Resources	3-348
3.10.5	Geology and Soils	3-351
3.10.6	Biological Resources	3-353
3.10.7	Cultural and Paleontological Resources	3-356
3.10.8	Socioeconomics	3-357
3.10.9	Public and Occupational Health and Safety	3-358
3.10.10	Waste Management	3-362
3.11	Mixed Oxide Fuel Fabrication Site (Generic)	3-364
3.11.1	Land Resources	3-365
3.11.2	Site Infrastructure	3-367
3.11.3	Air Quality and Noise	3-368
3.11.4	Water Resources	3-369
3.11.5	Geology and Soils	3-370
3.11.6	Biological Resources	3-371
3.11.7	Cultural and Paleontological Resources	3-372
3.11.8	Socioeconomics	3-373
3.11.9	Public and Occupational Health and Safety	3-378
3.11.10	Waste Management	3-381
3.12	Existing Light Water Reactor Site (Generic)	3-383
3.12.1	Land Resources	3-384
3.12.2	Site Infrastructure	3-386

3.12.3	Air Quality and Noise	3-387
3.12.4	Water Resources	3-388
3.12.5	Geology and Soils	3-389
3.12.6	Biological Resources	3-390
3.12.7	Cultural and Paleontological Resources	3-391
3.12.8	Socioeconomics	3-392
3.12.9	Public and Occupational Health and Safety	3-397
3.12.10	Waste Management	3-400
3.13	Partially Completed Reactor Site	3-403
3.13.1	Land Resources	3-404
3.13.2	Site Infrastructure	3-406
3.13.3	Air Quality and Noise	3-407
3.13.4	Water Resources	3-408
3.13.5	Geology and Soils	3-409
3.13.6	Biological Resources	3-410
3.13.7	Cultural and Paleontological Resources	3-411
3.13.8	Socioeconomics	3-412
3.13.9	Public and Occupational Health and Safety	3-419
3.13.10	Waste Management	3-421

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1.1-1	Department of Energy Locations with Surplus Weapons-Grade Plutonium Inventories in September 1994.	1-3
Figure 1.1.1-2	Department of Energy Locations With Surplus Highly Enriched Uranium Inventories on February 6, 1996.	1-3
Figure 1.4-1	Weapons-Usable Fissile Material Categories.	1-7
Figure 1.7-1	Public Scoping Workshop Locations, 1994.	1-16
Figure 2.1.3-1	Long-Term Storage Alternatives, Including the Preferred Alternative for Storage.	2-4
Figure 2.1.3-2	Results of the Screening Process—Long-Term Storage Options.	2-7
Figure 2.1.4-1	Surplus Plutonium Disposition Alternatives, Including the Preferred Alternative for Disposition.	2-11
Figure 2.1.4-2	Results of the Screening Process—Surplus Plutonium Disposition Options.	2-15
Figure 2.2.1-1	Hanford Site, Washington, and Region.	2-17
Figure 2.2.1-2	Site Designations and Principal Facilities at Hanford Site.	2-18
Figure 2.2.2-1	Nevada Test Site, Nevada, and Region.	2-20
Figure 2.2.2-2	Site Designations and Principal Facilities at Nevada Test Site.	2-21
Figure 2.2.3-1	Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, Idaho, and Region.	2-23
Figure 2.2.3-2	Site Designations and Principal Facilities at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory.	2-24
Figure 2.2.4-1	Pantex Plant, Texas, and Region.	2-25
Figure 2.2.4-2	Site Designations and Principal Facilities at Pantex Plant.	2-26
Figure 2.2.5-1	Oak Ridge Reservation, Tennessee, and Region.	2-27
Figure 2.2.5-2	Site Designations and Principal Facilities at Oak Ridge Reservation.	2-28
Figure 2.2.6-1	Savannah River Site, South Carolina, and Region.	2-30
Figure 2.2.6-2	Site Designations and Principal Facilities at Savannah River Site.	2-31
Figure 2.2.7-1	Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site, Colorado, and Region.	2-33
Figure 2.2.7-2	Site Designations and Principal Facilities at Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site.	2-34
Figure 2.2.8-1	Los Alamos National Laboratory, New Mexico, and Region.	2-36
Figure 2.2.8-2	Site Designations and Principal Facilities at Los Alamos National Laboratory.	2-37
Figure 2.3-1	Conceptual Facility Locations for the Long-Term Storage Alternatives at Hanford Site.	2-39

Figure 2.3–2	Conceptual Facility Locations for the Long-Term Storage Alternatives at Nevada Test Site.	2–40
Figure 2.3–3	Conceptual Facility Locations for the Long-Term Storage Alternatives at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory.	2–41
Figure 2.3–4	Conceptual Facility Locations for the Long-Term Storage Alternatives at Pantex Plant.	2–42
Figure 2.3–5	Conceptual Facility Locations for the Long-Term Storage Alternatives at Oak Ridge Reservation.	2–43
Figure 2.3–6	Conceptual Facility Locations for the Long-Term Storage Alternatives at Savannah River Site.	2–44
Figure 2.3.1–1	Operational Flow Diagram for the Upgrade Alternative at Hanford Site.	2–46
Figure 2.3.1–2	Location of Fuels and Materials Examination Facility in 400 Area at Hanford Site (Plan View).	2–47
Figure 2.3.1–3	Proposed New Plutonium Storage Facility at Hanford Site (Plan View).	2–49
Figure 2.3.1–4	Proposed Plutonium Storage Upgrade at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, Argonne National Laboratory-West (Plan View).	2–50
Figure 2.3.1–5	Large Scale View of the Proposed Plutonium Storage Upgrade at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, Argonne National Laboratory-West (Plan View).	2–51
Figure 2.3.1–6	Operational Flow Diagram for the Upgrade Alternative at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, Argonne National Laboratory-West.	2–52
Figure 2.3.1–7	Proposed Plutonium Storage Upgrade at Pantex Plant, Zone 12 South (Plan View).	2–54
Figure 2.3.1–8	Operational Flow Diagram for the Upgrade Alternative at Pantex Plant.	2–55
Figure 2.3.1–9	Proposed Highly Enriched Uranium Storage Upgrade at Oak Ridge Reservation, Y–12 Plant (Plan View).	2–57
Figure 2.3.1–10	Proposed Plutonium Storage Upgrade at Savannah River Site F–Area (Plan View).	2–59
Figure 2.3.1–11	Operational Flow Diagram for the Upgrade Alternative at Savannah River Site F–Area.	2–60
Figure 2.3.2.2–1	Conceptual Consolidated Plutonium Storage Facility (Plan View).	2–64
Figure 2.3.2.2–2	Operational Flow Diagram for the Consolidation Alternative.	2–65
Figure 2.3.2.2–3	P–Tunnel Modifications for the Consolidated Plutonium Storage Facility at Nevada Test Site (Plan View).	2–66
Figure 2.3.2.2–4	Proposed Area 12 Modifications for the Consolidated Plutonium Storage Facility at Nevada Test Site (Plan View).	2–67
Figure 2.3.2.2–5	Proposed Zone 12 South New Facility for the Consolidated Plutonium Storage Facility at Pantex Plant (Plan View).	2–68
Figure 2.3.2.2–6	Proposed Zone 12 South Modifications for the Consolidated Plutonium Storage Facility at Pantex Plant (Plan View).	2–69

Figure 2.3.2.2–7	Operational Flow Diagram for the Consolidation Alternative Modifying Existing Buildings and Constructing a New Facility in Zone 12 South at Pantex Plant.	2–70
Figure 2.3.3.2–1	Conceptual Collocated Plutonium and Highly Enriched Uranium Storage Facility (Plan View).	2–73
Figure 2.3.3.2–2	Operational Flow Diagram for the Collocation Alternative.	2–74
Figure 2.3.3.2–3	P–Tunnel Modifications for the Collocated Plutonium and Highly Enriched Uranium Storage Facility at Nevada Test Site (Plan View).	2–75
Figure 2.3.3.2–4	Proposed Area 12 Modifications for the Collocated Plutonium and Highly Enriched Uranium Storage Facility at Nevada Test Site (Plan View).	2–76
Figure 2.3.3.2–5	Operational Flow Diagram for the Collocation Alternative Modifying P–Tunnel at Nevada Test Site.	2–77
Figure 2.4–1	Representative Facility Locations for the Disposition of Plutonium at Hanford Site.	2–82
Figure 2.4–2	Representative Facility Locations for the Disposition of Plutonium at Nevada Test Site.	2–83
Figure 2.4–3	Representative Facility Locations for the Disposition of Plutonium at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory.	2–84
Figure 2.4–4	Representative Facility Locations for the Disposition of Plutonium at Pantex Plant.	2–85
Figure 2.4–5	Representative Facility Locations for the Disposition of Plutonium at Oak Ridge Reservation.	2–86
Figure 2.4–6	Representative Facility Locations for the Disposition of Plutonium at Savannah River Site.	2–87
Figure 2.4.1–1	Pit Disassembly/Conversion Facility Material Process Flow.	2–90
Figure 2.4.1–2	Conceptual Pit Disassembly/Conversion Facility Site Layout (Perspective).	2–91
Figure 2.4.2–1	Plutonium Conversion Facility Material Flow Diagram.	2–93
Figure 2.4.2–2	Conceptual Plutonium Conversion Facility Site Layout (Perspective).	2–94
Figure 2.4.3.1–1	Deep Borehole Facility Material Flow Diagram—Emplaced Canisters—Direct Disposition Alternative.	2–98
Figure 2.4.3.1–2	Cross–Section—Deep Borehole Typical Arrangement With Emplaced Canisters—Direct Disposition Alternative.	2–99
Figure 2.4.3.1–3	Conceptual Deep Borehole Facility Site Layout—Direct Disposition Alternative (Perspective).	2–100
Figure 2.4.3.2.1–1	Conceptual Ceramic Immobilization Facility Site Layout—Immobilized Disposition Alternative (Perspective).	2–104
Figure 2.4.3.2.1–2	Ceramic Immobilization Facility Material Flow Diagram—Immobilized Disposition Alternative.	2–105
Figure 2.4.3.2.2–1	Cross–Section—Deep Borehole With Typical Arrangement With Coated Ceramic Pellets in Grout—Immobilized Disposition Alternative.	2–107

Figure 2.4.3.2.2–2	Conceptual Deep Borehole Facility Site Layout (Perspective).	2–108
Figure 2.4.3.2.2–3	Deep Borehole Facility Material Flow Diagram—Immobilized Forms.	2–109
Figure 2.4.4.1–1	Vitrification Facility Material Flow Diagram.	2–112
Figure 2.4.4.1–2	Conceptual Vitrification Facility Site Layout (Perspective).	2–113
Figure 2.4.4.2–1	Ceramic Immobilization Facility Material Flow Diagram.	2–117
Figure 2.4.4.2–2	Conceptual Ceramic Immobilization Facility Site Layout (Perspective).	2–118
Figure 2.4.4.3–1	Electrometallurgical Treatment, Glass–Bonded Zeolite Waste Form.	2–121
Figure 2.4.4.3–2	Detailed Layout of the Argonne National Laboratory-West Site.	2–122
Figure 2.4.5.1–1	Mixed Oxide Fuel Fabrication Facility Material Flow Diagram.	2–127
Figure 2.4.5.1–2	Conceptual Mixed Oxide Fuel Fabrication Facility Site Layout (Perspective).	2–128
Figure 2.4.5.2–1	Representative Existing Light Water Reactor Facility (Perspective).	2–132
Figure 2.4.5.2–2	Representative Existing Light Water Reactor Fuel Cycle.	2–134
Figure 2.4.5.3–1	Representative Partially Completed Light Water Reactor Facility (Perspective).	2–138
Figure 2.4.5.4–1	Representative Evolutionary Light Water Reactor Facility (Perspective).	2–140
Figure 2.4.5.4–2	Representative Evolutionary Light Water Reactor Fuel Cycle.	2–141
Figure 2.4.5.5–1	Canadian Deuterium Uranium Reactor Mixed Oxide Fuel Cycle.	2–144
Figure 3.2.1–1	Generalized Land Use at Hanford Site and Vicinity	3–24
Figure 3.2.4–1	Surface Water Features at Hanford Site.	3–33
Figure 3.2.4–2	Water Table Elevations and Major Plumes for the Unconfined Aquifer at Hanford Site, June 1993.	3–35
Figure 3.2.5–1	Seismic Zone Map of the United States.	3–41
Figure 3.2.6–1	Distribution of Plant Communities at Hanford Site.	3–43
Figure 3.2.8–1	Employment and Local Economy for the Hanford Site Regional Economic Area and the State of Washington.	3–51
Figure 3.2.8–2	Population and Housing for the Hanford Site Region of Influence and the State of Washington.	3–52
Figure 3.2.8–3	School District Characteristics for the Hanford Site Region of Influence.	3–54
Figure 3.2.8–4	Public Safety and Health Care Characteristics for the Hanford Site Region of Influence.	3–55
Figure 3.3.1–1	Generalized Land Use at Nevada Test Site and Vicinity.	3–69
Figure 3.3.1–2	Generalized Regions at Nevada Test Site.	3–70
Figure 3.3.4–1	Surface Water Features at Nevada Test Site.	3–77
Figure 3.3.4–2	Groundwater Hydrologic Units at Nevada Test Site and Vicinity.	3–78

Figure 3.3.5–1	Major Fault Systems, Calderas, and Historic Earthquakes in the Nevada Test Site Region.	3–82
Figure 3.3.6–1	Distribution of Plant Communities at Nevada Test Site.	3–84
Figure 3.3.6–2	Distribution of the Desert Tortoise at Nevada Test Site.	3–86
Figure 3.3.8–1	Employment and Local Economy for the Nevada Test Site Regional Economic Area and the States of Arizona, Nevada, and Utah.	3–91
Figure 3.3.8–2	Population and Housing for the Nevada Test Site Region of Influence and the State of Nevada.	3–92
Figure 3.3.8–3	School District Characteristics for the Nevada Test Site Region of Influence.	3–94
Figure 3.3.8–4	Public Safety and Health Care Characteristics for the Nevada Test Site Region of Influence.	3–95
Figure 3.4.1–1	Generalized Land Use at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory and Vicinity.	3–108
Figure 3.4.4–1	Surface Water Features at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory.	3–116
Figure 3.4.4–2	Generalized Groundwater Flow and Groundwater Contamination at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory and Vicinity.	3–118
Figure 3.4.5–1	Major Fault Systems, Calderas, and Historic Earthquakes in the Idaho National Engineering Laboratory Region.	3–122
Figure 3.4.5–2	Major Volcanic Rift and Lava Flow Hazard Zones at the Idaho National Engineering Laboratory Region.	3–124
Figure 3.4.6–1	Distribution of Plant Communities at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory.	3–126
Figure 3.4.8–1	Employment and Local Economy for the Idaho National Engineering Laboratory Regional Economic Area and the States of Idaho and Wyoming.	3–131
Figure 3.4.8–2	Population and Housing for the Idaho National Engineering Laboratory Region of Influence and the State of Idaho.	3–132
Figure 3.4.8–3	School District Characteristics for the Idaho National Engineering Laboratory Region of Influence.	3–134
Figure 3.4.8–4	Public Safety and Health Care Characteristics for the Idaho National Engineering Laboratory Region of Influence.	3–135
Figure 3.5.1–1	Generalized Land Use at Pantex Plant and Vicinity.	3–149
Figure 3.5.4–1	Surface Water Features at Pantex Plant.	3–158
Figure 3.5.4–2	Water Table Elevations for the Ogallala Aquifer at Pantex Plant.	3–161
Figure 3.5.8–1	Employment and Local Economy for the Pantex Plant Regional Economic Area and the States of Texas and New Mexico.	3–170
Figure 3.5.8–2	Population and Housing for the Pantex Plant Region of Influence and the State of Texas.	3–171

Figure 3.5.8–3	School District Characteristics for the Pantex Plant Region of Influence.	3–173
Figure 3.5.8–4	Public Safety and Health Care Characteristics for the Pantex Plant Region of Influence.	3–174
Figure 3.6.1–1	Generalized Land Use at Oak Ridge Reservation and Vicinity.	3–187
Figure 3.6.1–2	Primary Existing and Proposed Facilities at Oak Ridge Reservation.	3–188
Figure 3.6.4–1	Surface Water Features at Oak Ridge Reservation.	3–195
Figure 3.6.6–1	Distribution of Plant Communities at Oak Ridge Reservation.	3–202
Figure 3.6.8–1	Employment and Local Economy for the Oak Ridge Reservation Regional Economic Area and the State of Tennessee.	3–209
Figure 3.6.8–2	Population and Housing for the Oak Ridge Reservation Region of Influence and the State of Tennessee.	3–210
Figure 3.6.8–3	School District Characteristics for the Oak Ridge Reservation Region of Influence.	3–212
Figure 3.6.8–4	Public Safety and Health Care Characteristics for the Oak Ridge Reservation Region of Influence.	3–213
Figure 3.7.1–1	Generalized Land Use at Savannah River Site and Vicinity.	3–231
Figure 3.7.4–1	Surface Water Features and Groundwater Contamination Areas at Savannah River Site.	3–237
Figure 3.7.6–1	Distribution of Plant Communities at Savannah River Site.	3–243
Figure 3.7.8–1	Employment and Local Economy for the Savannah River Site Regional Economic Area and the States of Georgia and South Carolina.	3–251
Figure 3.7.8–2	Population and Housing for the Savannah River Site Region of Influence and the States of Georgia and South Carolina.	3–252
Figure 3.7.8–3	School District Characteristics for the Savannah River Site Region of Influence.	3–254
Figure 3.7.8–4	Public Safety and Health Care Characteristics for the Savannah River Site Region of Influence.	3–255
Figure 3.8.1–1	Generalized Land Use at Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site and Vicinity.	3–269
Figure 3.8.4–1	Surface Water Features at Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site.	3–276
Figure 3.8.4–2	Groundwater Contamination Areas at Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site.	3–280
Figure 3.8.6–1	Distribution of Plant Communities at Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site.	3–284
Figure 3.8.8–1	Employment and Local Economy for the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site Regional Economic Area and the States of Colorado, Kansas, and Nebraska.	3–289
Figure 3.8.8–2	Population and Housing for the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site Region of Influence and the State of Colorado.	3–290

Figure 3.8.8–3	School District Characteristics for the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site Region of Influence.	3–292
Figure 3.8.8–4	Public Safety and Health Care Characteristics for the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site Region of Influence.	3–293
Figure 3.9.1–1	Generalized Land Use at Los Alamos National Laboratory and Vicinity.	3–307
Figure 3.9.4–1	Surface Water Features at Los Alamos National Laboratory.	3–315
Figure 3.9.5–1	Major Fault Systems in the Los Alamos National Laboratory Region.	3–320
Figure 3.9.6–1	Distribution of Plant Communities at Los Alamos National Laboratory.	3–322
Figure 3.9.8–1	Employment and Local Economy for the Los Alamos National Laboratory Regional Economic Area and the State of New Mexico.	3–327
Figure 3.9.8–2	Population and Housing for the Los Alamos National Laboratory Region of Influence and the State of New Mexico.	3–328
Figure 3.9.8–3	School District Characteristics for the Los Alamos National Laboratory Region of Influence.	3–330
Figure 3.9.8–4	Public Safety and Health Care Characteristics for the Los Alamos National Laboratory Region of Influence.	3–331
Figure 3.11.8–1	Employment and Local Economy for the Generic Mixed Oxide Fuel Fabrication Representative Sites' Regional Economic Area.	3–374
Figure 3.11.8–2	Population and Housing for the Generic Mixed Oxide Fuel Fabrication Representative Sites' Region of Influence.	3–376
Figure 3.12.8–1	Employment and Local Economy for the Generic Existing Light Water Reactor Representative Sites' Regional Economic Area.	3–393
Figure 3.12.8–2	Population and Housing for the Generic Existing Light Water Reactor Representative Sites' Region of Influence.	3–395
Figure 3.13.8–1	Employment and Local Economy for the Representative Partially Completed Reactor Site Regional Economic Area and the Representative State.	3–413
Figure 3.13.8–2	Population and Housing for the Representative Partially Completed Reactor Site Region of Influence and the Representative State.	3–414
Figure 3.13.8–3	School District Characteristics for the Representative Partially Completed Reactor Site Region of Influence.	3–416
Figure 3.13.8–4	Public Safety and Health Care Characteristics for the Representative Partially Completed Reactor Site Region of Influence.	3–417

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.4–1	Environmental Reviews for Storage and Disposition of Weapons-Usable Fissile Materials	1–8
Table 1.4–2	Additional Environmental Reviews Related to the Storage and Disposition Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement	1–9
Table 1.6–1	Storage and Disposition Actions Proposed by the Preferred Alternative	1–15
Table 2.3.1–1	Long-Term Storage Options for the Upgrade at Multiple Sites Alternative.....	2–45
Table 2.3.2.2–1	Long-Term Storage Options for the Consolidation of Plutonium Alternative.....	2–63
Table 2.3.3.2–1	Long-Term Storage Options for the Collocation of Plutonium and Highly Enriched Uranium Alternative	2–72
Table 2.4–1	Descriptions of Variants to Analyzed Disposition Alternatives	2–81
Table 2.4.5.1–1	Mixed Oxide Fuel Reactors Operations Assumptions	2–129
Table 2.4.5.2–1	Existing Light Water Reactor Facility Additional Spent Fuel Generation/Storage Requirements	2–136
Table 2.5–1	Summary of Environmental Impacts for the Preferred Alternative for Storage	2–155
Table 2.5–2	Summary Comparison of Environmental Impacts for the No Action and Long-Term Storage Alternatives	2–175
Table 2.5–3	Summary Comparison of Environmental Impacts for Plutonium Disposition Alternatives (Including the Preferred Alternative)	2–269
Table 2.5.1–1	Maximum Incremental Direct Employment Over No Action Generated During Operation at Each Candidate Site.....	2–148
Table 2.5.1–2	Maximum Annual Net Incremental Water Usage Over No Action During Operation at Each Candidate Site	2–148
Table 2.5.1–3	Maximum Annual Net Incremental Volume of Solid Low-Level Waste Over No Action Generated During Operation at Each Candidate Site	2–149
Table 2.5.1–4	Maximum Annual Net Incremental Volume of Solid Transuranic Waste Over No Action Generated During Operation at Each Candidate Site	2–149
Table 2.5.1–5	Maximum Annual Net Incremental Volume of Solid Hazardous Waste Over No Action Generated During Operation at Each Candidate Site	2–150
Table 2.5.1–6	Maximum Latent Cancer Fatalities Over No Action for Maximally Exposed Individual for 50 Years From Normal Operation at Each Candidate Site	2–150

Table 2.5.2-1	Total Campaign Data (Approximate) for Disposition Alternatives and the Preferred Alternative	2-151
Table 2.5.2-2	Comparison of Resource Use and Impacts From the Total Campaign for the Operation of Disposition Alternatives	2-153
Table 3.2-1	Current Missions at Hanford Site	3-22
Table 3.2.2-1	Hanford Site Baseline Characteristics	3-26
Table 3.2.2-2	Northwest Sub-Regional Power Pool Electrical Summary	3-27
Table 3.2.3-1	Comparison of Baseline Ambient Air Concentrations With Most Stringent Applicable Regulations or Guidelines at Hanford Site, 1994	3-30
Table 3.2.4-1	Summary of Columbia River Surface Water Quality Monitoring at Hanford Site (Richland Pumphouse), 1993	3-36
Table 3.2.4-2	Groundwater Quality Monitoring in the Unconfined Aquifer at Hanford Site, 1993	3-37
Table 3.2.5-1	The Modified Mercalli Intensity Scale of 1931, With Approximate Correlations to Richter Scale and Maximum Ground Acceleration	3-39
Table 3.2.6-1	Federally and State-Listed Threatened, Endangered, and Other Special Status Species That May Be Found on or in the Vicinity of Hanford Site	3-45
Table 3.2.9-1	Sources of Radiation Exposure to Individuals in the Vicinity, Unrelated to Hanford Site Operation	3-57
Table 3.2.9-2	Radiation Doses to the Public From Normal Hanford Site Operation in 1993 (Committed Effective Dose Equivalent).....	3-58
Table 3.2.9-3	Radiation Doses to Workers From Normal Hanford Site Operation in 1992 (Committed Effective Dose Equivalent).....	3-58
Table 3.2.10-1	Spent Nuclear Fuel and Waste Management Activities at Hanford Site	3-62
Table 3.3-1	Current Missions at Nevada Test Site.....	3-66
Table 3.3.2-1	Nevada Test Site Baseline Characteristics.....	3-72
Table 3.3.2-2	California–Southern Nevada Sub-Regional Power Pool Electrical Summary	3-73
Table 3.3.3-1	Comparison of Baseline Ambient Air Concentrations With Most Stringent Applicable Regulations or Guidelines at Nevada Test Site, 1990-1992	3-75
Table 3.3.4-1	Groundwater Quality Monitoring at Nevada Test Site, 1993	3-79
Table 3.3.6-1	Federally and State-Listed Threatened, Endangered, and Other Special Status Species That May Be Found on or in the Vicinity of Nevada Test Site	3-85
Table 3.3.9-1	Sources of Radiation Exposure to Individuals in the Vicinity, Unrelated to Nevada Test Site Operation	3-97
Table 3.3.9-2	Radiation Doses to the Public From Normal Nevada Test Site Operation in 1993 (Committed Effective Dose Equivalent).....	3-98
Table 3.3.9-3	Radiation Doses to Workers From Normal Nevada Test Site Operation in 1992 (Committed Effective Dose Equivalent).....	3-98

Table 3.3.10–1	Waste Management Activities at Nevada Test Site	3–102
Table 3.4–1	Current Missions at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory	3–105
Table 3.4.2–1	Idaho National Engineering Laboratory Baseline Characteristics	3–110
Table 3.4.2–2	Northwest Sub-Regional Power Pool Electrical Summary	3–111
Table 3.4.3–1	Comparison of Baseline Ambient Air Concentrations With Most Stringent Applicable Regulations or Guidelines at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, 1990.....	3–113
Table 3.4.4–1	Summary of Big Lost River Surface Water Quality Monitoring at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, 1995.....	3–117
Table 3.4.4–2	Groundwater Quality Monitoring at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, 1994.....	3–120
Table 3.4.6–1	Federally and State-Listed Threatened, Endangered, and Other Special Status Species That May Be Found on or in the Vicinity of Idaho National Engineering Laboratory	3–128
Table 3.4.9–1	Sources of Radiation Exposure to Individuals in the Vicinity, Unrelated to Idaho National Engineering Laboratory Operation	3–137
Table 3.4.9–2	Radiation Doses to the Public From Normal Idaho National Engineering Laboratory Operation in 1993 (Committed Effective Dose Equivalent).....	3–138
Table 3.4.9–3	Radiation Doses to Workers From Normal Idaho National Engineering Laboratory Operation in 1992 (Committed Effective Dose Equivalent).....	3–138
Table 3.4.10–1	Spent Nuclear Fuel and Waste Management Activities at Idaho National Engineering Laboratory	3–142
Table 3.5–1	Current Missions at Pantex Plant	3–146
Table 3.5.2–1	Pantex Plant Baseline Characteristics	3–151
Table 3.5.2–2	West Central Sub-Regional Power Pool Electrical Summary	3–152
Table 3.5.3–1	Comparison of Baseline Ambient Air Concentrations With Most Stringent Applicable Regulations or Guidelines at Pantex Plant, 1993	3–154
Table 3.5.4–1	Summary of Playa 1 Surface Water Quality Monitoring at Pantex Plant, 1994	3–159
Table 3.5.4–2	Groundwater Quality Monitoring (Ogallala Aquifer Wells) at Pantex Plant, 1994	3–162
Table 3.5.4–3	Groundwater Quality Monitoring (Perched Zone Wells) at Pantex Plant, 1994	3–163
Table 3.5.6–1	Federally and State-Listed Threatened, Endangered, and Other Special Status Species That May Be Found on or in the Vicinity of Pantex Plant	3–167
Table 3.5.9–1	Sources of Radiation Exposure to Individuals in the Vicinity, Unrelated to Pantex Plant Operation	3–176
Table 3.5.9–2	Radiation Doses to the Public From Normal Pantex Plant Operation in 1994 (Committed Effective Dose Equivalent).....	3–177

Table 3.5.9–3	Radiation Doses to Workers From Normal Pantex Plant Operation in 1994 (Committed Effective Dose Equivalent).....	3–177
Table 3.5.10–1	Waste Management Activities at Pantex Plant	3–181
Table 3.6–1	Current Missions at Oak Ridge Reservation.....	3–184
Table 3.6.2–1	Oak Ridge Reservation Baseline Characteristics.....	3–190
Table 3.6.2–2	Tennessee Valley Authority Sub-Regional Power Pool Electrical Summary	3–191
Table 3.6.3–1	Comparison of Baseline Ambient Air Concentrations With Most Stringent Applicable Regulations or Guidelines at Oak Ridge Reservation, 1992	3–193
Table 3.6.4–1	Summary of Clinch River Surface Water Quality Monitoring at Oak Ridge Reservation, 1993	3–197
Table 3.6.4–2	Groundwater Quality Monitoring at Oak Ridge Reservation, 1993	3–198
Table 3.6.6–1	Federally and State-Listed Threatened, Endangered, and Other Special Status Species That May Be Found on or in the Vicinity of Oak Ridge Reservation	3–204
Table 3.6.9–1	Sources of Radiation Exposure to Individuals in the Vicinity, Unrelated to Oak Ridge Reservation Operation	3–215
Table 3.6.9–2	Radiation Doses to the Public From Normal Oak Ridge Reservation Operation in 1993 (Committed Effective Dose Equivalent).....	3–216
Table 3.6.9–3	Radiation Doses to Workers From Normal Oak Ridge Reservation Operation in 1992 (Committed Effective Dose Equivalent).....	3–216
Table 3.6.10–1	Spent Nuclear Fuel and Waste Management Activities at Oak Ridge Reservation, Y–12 Plant	3–220
Table 3.6.10–2	Spent Nuclear Fuel and Waste Management Activities at Oak Ridge National Laboratory	3–222
Table 3.6.10–3	Waste Management Activities at Oak Ridge Reservation, K–25 Site	3–224
Table 3.7–1	Current Missions at Savannah River Site	3–229
Table 3.7.2–1	Savannah River Site Baseline Characteristics	3–232
Table 3.7.2–2	Virginia-Carolinas Sub-Regional Power Pool Electrical Summary	3–232
Table 3.7.3–1	Comparison of Baseline Ambient Air Concentrations With Most Stringent Applicable Regulations or Guidelines at Savannah River Site, 1990.....	3–234
Table 3.7.4–1	Summary of Surface Water Quality Monitoring at Savannah River Site, 1993	3–238
Table 3.7.4–2	Groundwater Quality Monitoring at Savannah River Site, 1993.....	3–240
Table 3.7.6–1	Federally and State-Listed Threatened, Endangered, and Other Special Status Species That May Be Found on or in the Vicinity of Savannah River Site	3–246
Table 3.7.9–1	Sources of Radiation Exposure to Individuals in the Vicinity, Unrelated to Savannah River Site Operation.....	3–257

Table 3.7.9–2	Radiation Doses to the Public From Normal Savannah River Site Operation in 1993 (Committed Effective Dose Equivalent).....	3–258
Table 3.7.9–3	Radiation Doses to Workers From Normal Savannah River Site Operation in 1992 (Committed Effective Dose Equivalent).....	3–258
Table 3.7.10–1	Spent Nuclear Fuel and Waste Management Activities at Savannah River Site	3–262
Table 3.8–1	Current Missions at Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site.....	3–266
Table 3.8.2–1	Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site Baseline Characteristics.....	3–270
Table 3.8.2–2	Rocky Mountain Area Sub-Regional Power Pool Electrical Summary	3–271
Table 3.8.3–1	Comparison of Baseline Ambient Air Concentrations With Most Stringent Applicable Regulations or Guidelines at Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site, 1991–1994	3–274
Table 3.8.4–1	Summary of Surface Water Quality Monitoring at Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site, 1993	3–278
Table 3.8.4–2	Groundwater Quality Monitoring at Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site, 1994	3–279
Table 3.8.6–1	Federally and State-Listed Threatened, Endangered, and Other Special Status Species That May Be Found on or in the Vicinity of Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site	3–285
Table 3.8.9–1	Sources of Radiation Exposure to Individuals in the Vicinity, Unrelated to Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site Operation	3–295
Table 3.8.9–2	Radiation Doses to the Public From Normal Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site Operation in 1993 (Committed Effective Dose Equivalent)	3–296
Table 3.8.9–3	Radiation Doses to Workers From Normal Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site Operation in 1992 (Committed Effective Dose Equivalent)	3–297
Table 3.8.10–1	Waste Management Activities at Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site	3–300
Table 3.9–1	Current Missions at Los Alamos National Laboratory	3–304
Table 3.9.2–1	Los Alamos National Laboratory Baseline Characteristics	3–308
Table 3.9.2–2	Arizona-New Mexico Sub-Regional Power Pool Electrical Summary	3–309
Table 3.9.3–1	Comparison of Baseline Ambient Air Concentrations With Most Stringent Applicable Regulations or Guidelines at Los Alamos National Laboratory, 1990 and 1992	3–311
Table 3.9.4–1	Summary of Surface Water Quality Monitoring at Los Alamos National Laboratory, 1993	3–316
Table 3.9.4–2	Groundwater Quality Monitoring at Los Alamos National Laboratory, 1993	3–318
Table 3.9.6–1	Federally and State-Listed Threatened, Endangered, and Other Special Status Species That May Be Found on or in the Vicinity of Los Alamos National Laboratory	3–324
Table 3.9.9–1	Sources of Radiation Exposure to Individuals in the Vicinity, Unrelated to Los Alamos National Laboratory Operation	3–333

Table 3.9.9–2	Radiation Doses to the Public From Normal Los Alamos National Laboratory Operation in 1993 (Committed Effective Dose Equivalent)	3–334
Table 3.9.9–3	Radiation Doses to Workers From Normal Los Alamos National Laboratory Operation in 1992 (Committed Effective Dose Equivalent)	3–335
Table 3.9.10–1	Waste Management Activities at Los Alamos National Laboratory	3–338
Table 3.10.1–1	Land Resources Attributes of the Generic Deep Borehole Complex Site	3–343
Table 3.10.2–1	Generic Deep Borehole Complex Site Baseline Characteristics	3–345
Table 3.10.2–2	Generic Deep Borehole Complex Site Sub-Regional Power Pool Electrical Summary	3–346
Table 3.10.4–1	Summary of Typical Surface Water Quality at the Generic Deep Borehole Complex Site	3–349
Table 3.10.4–2	Common Ranges of Hydraulic Characteristics of Aquifers.....	3–350
Table 3.10.5–1	Attributes of Different Types of Geologic Media.....	3–352
Table 3.10.9–1	Sources of Radiation Exposure to Individuals in the Vicinity, Unrelated to Operation at the Generic Deep Borehole Complex Site	3–358
Table 3.10.9–2	Radiation Doses to the Public From Normal Operation at the Generic Deep Borehole Complex Site in 1993 (Committed Effective Dose Equivalent)	3–359
Table 3.10.9–3	Radiation Doses to Workers From Normal Operation at the Generic Deep Borehole Complex Site in 1992 (Committed Effective Dose Equivalent)	3–360
Table 3.11.1–1	Land Resources Attributes of the Generic Mixed Oxide Fuel Fabrication Site	3–365
Table 3.11.2–1	Generic Mixed Oxide Fuel Fabrication Site Baseline Characteristics.....	3–367
Table 3.11.2–2	Generic Mixed Oxide Fuel Fabrication Site Sub-Regional Power Pool Electrical Summary	3–367
Table 3.11.9–1	Sources of Radiation Exposure to Individuals in the Vicinity, Unrelated to Operation at the Generic Mixed Oxide Fuel Fabrication Site	3–378
Table 3.11.9–2	Radiation Doses to the Public From Normal Operation at the Generic Mixed Oxide Fuel Fabrication Site in 1994 (Committed Effective Dose Equivalent)	3–379
Table 3.11.9–3	Radiation Doses to Workers From Normal Operation at the Generic Mixed Oxide Fuel Fabrication Site in 1993 (Committed Effective Dose Equivalent)	3–379
Table 3.12.1–1	Land Resources Attributes of the Generic Existing Light Water Reactor Site	3–384
Table 3.12.2–1	Generic Existing Light Water Reactor Site Baseline Characteristics	3–386
Table 3.12.2–2	Generic Existing Light Water Reactor Site Regional Power Pool Electrical Summary	3–386
Table 3.12.3–1	Comparison of Baseline Ambient Air Concentrations With Most Stringent Applicable Regulations or Guidelines at the Generic Existing Light Water Reactor Site	3–387

Table 3.12.9–1	Sources of Radiation Exposure to Individuals in the Vicinity, Unrelated to Operation at the Generic Existing Light Water Reactor Site.....	3–397
Table 3.12.9–2	Radiation Doses to the Public From Normal Operation at the Generic Existing Light Water Reactor Site in 1994 (Committed Effective Dose Equivalent).....	3–398
Table 3.12.9–3	Radiation Doses to Workers From Normal Operation at the Generic Existing Light Water Reactor Site in 1993 (Committed Effective Dose Equivalent).....	3–399
Table 3.12.10–1	Existing Light Water Reactor Site Waste Management Characteristics.....	3–401
Table 3.13.1–1	Land Resources Attributes of the Partially Completed Reactor Site	3–404
Table 3.13.2–1	Partially Completed Reactor Site Baseline Characteristics	3–406
Table 3.13.2–2	Partially Completed Reactor Site Sub-Regional Power Pool Electrical Summary	3–406
Table 3.13.9–1	Sources of Radiation Exposure to Individuals in the Vicinity, Unrelated to Operation at the Partially Completed Reactor Site	3–419

